

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/472,300	12/27/1999	STEVE J. SHATTIL	0886285913 8567	
7590 04/26/2004			EXAMINER	
STEVE SHATTIL			MOORE, JAMES K	
4980 MEREDITH WAY 201 BOULDER, CO 80303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
500252iq 0	0 00505		2686	73
		DATE MAILED: 04/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/472,300	SHATTIL, STEVE J.
•	Examiner	Art Unit
	James K Moore	2686
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of the contex	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:	•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) ☐ disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		
10. Other:	.(o)( · · · o · · · · o) · · apoi · · · o(o)	<del></del> ·
70 Outor	Marsha O Bank MARSHA D. BANI SUPERVISORY PATE TECHIVOLOGY CEI	ks-harold Nt examiner

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

**Application No. 09/472,300** 





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitation to claim 21, "by a transmitter having a plurality of transmitter elements," raises a ne issue that will require further consideration or search.

JIM